



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/657,272	09/07/2000	Toru Matama	Q58745	9969
23373	7590 02/14/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EDWARDS, PATRICK L	
SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2621	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/657,272	MATAMA, TORU		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Patrick L Edwards	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in complianc	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a			
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		IKST REPLT WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within two months (CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of			
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further co	•		because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	w);	·	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timaly filed amandm	ant conceling			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-6, 8-17, 19-22, 24-38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut bafana aa aa tha data af filina a l	Nation of American (1911)				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			• •			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other:		N. 11/1				
		MINI	/			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04) ANDREWW. JOHNS PR!MARY EXAMINER

MM

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendment has added the additional limitation of setting a focusing position of the specified detecting light on a position of an optical element. This added limitation will require further search and consideration, and will therefore not be entered.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's amendment has overcome the 112-2nd paragraph rejections set forth in the previous rejection. However, the amendment adds additional limitations which will require further consideration and search (see the note from #3), and therefore will not be entered.